

# MTAEA – Linear Independence

Scott McCracken

School of Economics,  
Australian National University

January 28, 2010

# Linear Independence.

## Definition.

Let  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k \in \mathbb{R}^n$  be a set of vectors.

- ▶ The vectors are **linearly dependent** if there exist scalars  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k \in \mathbb{R}$ , not all zero, such that

$$\lambda_1 v_1 + \lambda_2 v_2 + \dots + \lambda_k v_k = 0.$$

- ▶ The vectors are **linearly independent** if  $\lambda_1 v_1 + \dots + \lambda_k v_k = 0$  for scalars  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$  implies that

$$\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \dots = \lambda_k = 0.$$



# Linear Independence.

## Example

- (1) ▶ The vectors

$$\mathbf{e}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \mathbf{e}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  are linearly independent.

- ▶ To show this, consider any scalars  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  such that  $\lambda_1 \mathbf{e}_1 + \lambda_2 \mathbf{e}_2 + \dots + \lambda_n \mathbf{e}_n = \mathbf{0}$ , i.e. such that

$$\lambda_1 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \lambda_2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \dots + \lambda_n \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

- ▶ The last equation implies that  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \dots = \lambda_n = 0$ .

# Linear Independence.

- (2) ▶ The vectors

$$w_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix}, w_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ and } w_n = \begin{pmatrix} 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \end{pmatrix}$$

in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  are linearly dependent, since  $w_1 - 2w_2 + w_3 = 0$ .

- ▶ How did we come up with this? Start with the equation in the definition of linear dependence

$$\lambda_1 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix} + \lambda_2 \begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \end{pmatrix} + \lambda_3 \begin{pmatrix} 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and solve this system for possible values of  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3$ .

## Linear Independence.

- ▶ We can write this system in matrix form as

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 4 & 7 \\ 2 & 5 & 8 \\ 3 & 6 & 9 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \\ \lambda_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- ▶ The coefficient matrix  $A$  is simply the matrix with columns  $w_1, w_2, w_3$  which we can write as  $A = (w_1 w_2 w_3)$ . If we reduce  $A$  to row echelon form we get

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 4 & 7 \\ 0 & -3 & -6 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- ▶ We have found that rank  $A$  is not maximal, and so the system is singular and has a nonzero solution (many in fact). ◆
- ▶ The following theorem generalizes the last example.

## Linear Independence.

### Theorem (S&B 11.1)

Vectors  $v_1, \dots, v_k \in \mathbb{R}^n$  are linearly dependent iff the linear system

$$A \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_k \end{pmatrix} = 0,$$

where  $A = (v_1 \ v_2 \ \cdots \ v_k)$ , has a nonzero solution  $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k)$ .

- ▶ The following theorem gives us a way of checking that a set of  $n$  vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  are linearly independent.

### Theorem (S&B 11.2)

Vectors  $v_1, \dots, v_n \in \mathbb{R}^n$  are linearly independent iff

$$\det(v_1 \ v_2 \ \cdots \ v_n) \neq 0.$$

## Linear Independence.

### Theorem (S&B 11.3)

If  $k > n$ , any set of  $k$  vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is linearly dependent.

### Proof.

Let  $v_1, \dots, v_k$  be  $k$  vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with  $k > n$ . By theorem 1, this set of vectors is linearly dependent if and only if the system

$$A\lambda = A \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_k \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

where  $A = (v_1 \ v_2 \ \cdots \ v_k)$ , has a nonzero solution  $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k)$ .

- ▶ But, since the vectors belong to  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , the matrix  $A$  has  $n$  rows and  $k$  columns with  $k > n$ .

## Linear Independence.

- ▶ Since  $A$  has more columns than rows, there must be some column, say column  $j$ , in the row echelon forms of  $A$  without a pivot.
- ▶ But then  $\lambda_j$  is a free variable, and since  $A\lambda = 0$  has a solution, it must have infinitely many solutions all but one of which is nonzero.
- ▶ Hence the vectors are linearly dependent. ■
- ▶ Instead of the above, we could have used fact 7.11(a)(i) of S&B which says that if there are more unknowns than equations, the system  $A\lambda = 0$  must have infinitely many solutions.



# Spanning Sets.

- ▶ A subset of  $V$  of the vector space  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  if it is
  - ▶ closed under addition (the sum of any two elements in  $V$  is also in  $V$ ), and
  - ▶ closed under scalar multiplication (any scalar multiple of an element in  $V$  is also in  $V$ ).

## Example

- ▶ The set  $\{(1, 1, 1)\}$  is not a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , because for example  $(0, 0, 0) \notin \{(1, 1, 1)\}$ .
- ▶ The set  $V = \mathcal{L}[(1, 1, 1)] = \{\lambda(1, 1, 1) \mid \lambda \in \mathbb{R}\}$  is a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^3$  as you can check.

# Spanning Sets.

## Definition.

Let  $v_1, \dots, v_k \in \mathbb{R}^n$  be a set of vectors and let  $V \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  be a subspace.

- ▶ The set of all **linear combinations** of these vectors

$$\mathcal{L}[v_1, \dots, v_k] = \{\lambda_1 v_1 + \dots + \lambda_k v_k \mid \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

is called the set **generated** or **spanned** by  $v_1, \dots, v_k$ .

- ▶ Equivalently, the **span** of  $\{v_1, \dots, v_k\}$  is the set  $\mathcal{L}[v_1, \dots, v_k]$  (sometimes written  $\text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_k)$ ).
- ▶ If

$$V = \mathcal{L}[v_1, \dots, v_k],$$

we say  $v_1, \dots, v_k$  **span**  $V$ . ▲

- ▶ A set of vectors spans (or is a **spanning set** of) a subspace  $V$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  if we can write any element in  $V$  as a linear combination of the vectors.

# Spanning Sets.

## Example

- (1) The  $n$ -dimensional Euclidean space is spanned by the vectors  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  of our earlier example. Take any vector  $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then  $a = a_1 e_1 + \dots + a_n e_n$ .
- (2) There are many sets of vectors that span the same space. Each of the following sets spans the space  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

(a)  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ .

(b)  $\begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ .

(c)  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 56 \\ -24 \end{pmatrix}$ .

(d)  $\begin{pmatrix} 6 \\ -6 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 31 \\ 31 \end{pmatrix}$ .

## Spanning Sets.

### Theorem (S&B 11.4)

Let  $v_1, \dots, v_k \in \mathbb{R}^n$  be a set of vectors. Let  $A = (v_1 v_2 \cdots v_k)$  and let  $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$  be a vector. Then  $b$  lies in the space  $\mathcal{L}[v_1, \dots, v_k]$  spanned by  $v_1, \dots, v_k$  iff the system  $A\lambda = b$  has a solution  $\lambda$ .

- ▶ The first corollary to this theorem provides a way of checking whether or not a set of vectors spans all of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , while the second gives the minimum number of vectors needed in a set spanning  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

### Corollary (S&B 11.5)

Let  $v_1, \dots, v_k \in \mathbb{R}^n$  be a set of vectors. Let  $A = (v_1 v_2 \cdots v_k)$ . Then  $v_1, \dots, v_k$  span  $\mathbb{R}^n$  iff the system of equations  $Ax = b$  has a solution  $x$  for every  $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

### Corollary (S&B 11.6)

A set of vectors that spans  $\mathbb{R}^n$  must contain at least  $n$  vectors.

## Basis and Dimension.

- ▶ Once we have a spanning set of vectors, we can always make the spanning set larger by including more vectors.
- ▶ We want to find the smallest set of vectors that spans a subset  $V$  of the  $n$ -dimensional Euclidean space.

### Definition.


Let  $v_1, \dots, v_k \in V$  be a set of vectors and let  $V \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then  $v_1, \dots, v_k$  forms a **basis** of  $V$  if

- (1)  $v_1, \dots, v_k$  span  $V$ , and
- (2)  $v_1, \dots, v_k$  are linearly independent.



## Basis and Dimension.

### Example

- (1) From our previous examples, we can see that the unit vectors  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  form a basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . This natural basis, is called the **canonical basis** of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .
- (2) In a previous example (2), we listed four spanning sets of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . The set (c) is not linearly independent, since it contains more than two vectors. The collections (a), (b), and (d) are linearly independent and so each forms a basis for  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . 

### Theorem (S&B 11.7)

*Every basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  contains exactly  $n$  vectors.*

## Basis and Dimension.

- ▶ Using theorems 1, 2 and corollary 4, together with the fact that a square matrix is nonsingular iff its determinant is nonzero gives the following theorem.

### Theorem (S&B 11.8)

*Let  $v_1, \dots, v_n \in \mathbb{R}^n$  be a set of vectors and let  $A = (v_1 v_2 \cdots v_n)$ . Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (1)  $v_1, \dots, v_n$  are linearly independent.
- (2)  $v_1, \dots, v_n$  span  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .
- (3)  $v_1, \dots, v_n$  form a basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .
- (4)  $|A| \neq 0$ .
- (5)  $\text{rank } A = n$ .

## Basis and Dimension.

- ▶ The fact that any basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  contains exactly  $n$  vectors tells us that there are  $n$  independent directions in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .
- ▶ This is why we say that  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is  $n$ -dimensional.

### Definition.

The number of vectors in any basis of a subspace  $V$  is called the **dimension** of  $V$ . ▲

- ▶ This definition only makes sense if any basis of  $V$  has the same number of vectors. Fortunately, this can be shown to be true (see theorem 27.3 of S&B if you are interested).